
Assessment Task 1

Mr. Pay Person is the Department Chair of the Computer Science (CS) Department of TABAKO University while Ms. Lee is Mr. Person's secretary. Tabako University is using a computer system where faculty members are required to personally encode the grades of students, print the grade sheet and submit the signed grade sheet to the department's chair concerned for signature before submitting the hard copy to the registrar's office. Even if the grade sheets are not printed, the same is stored in the computer system and a student concerned will have his grade in the transcript of his records. The printed grade sheet is just a back-up of the computer system in case of system failure. On March 21 2015, all the grade sheets were already submitted by all CS instructors to Ms. Jane. However, Jane could not submit the same to the registrar's office because the grade sheets were NOT yet signed by Mr. Person who attended an intensive Java Boot Camp Seminar being held in Mindanao. Without the knowledge of Mr. Person, Ms. Jane forged the signature of Mr. Person and personally signed on the grade sheet. Jane told herself, "if I will NOT do it, we will be late in the submission of the grade sheets; anyway Sir Jay's signature is only a formal requirement".

Question:

1. Is Ms. Jane guilty of Computer related forgery? Why? Why not?

No, Ms. Jane is not guilty of computer-related forgery because she physically copied the signature of Mr. Person and did not use computer to forged it. Computer related forgery is made through cyberspace, an unauthorized input, alternation or deletion of computer data with internet.

2. Is the guilty of forgery under the Revised Penal Code? Why? Why not?

Indeed, Ms. Jane is at risk for her activities and blameworthy. As indicated by RA 9105 Section 3 no. 2 that "Forging or mimicking any unique signature or sign, planning to trick the public or the purchaser with regards to the creation of a show-stopper;" which she has done and inclosed with punishments, they may punished her of 6 years' detainment.

Assessment Task 2

Sam and Perla are having a distant relationship. It has their habit to engage in cybersex, which Perla did in an internet Café located in Quezon City, Philippines and Sam from the United States. Both the said internet café are promoting cybersex and in fact generate huge income from that activity from their customers. Sean, a special agent of the National Bureau of investigation (NBI) through his bubwit, JD, an effective informer and deep penetrating agent (DPA) was able to secure a search warrant and warrant of arrest from Judge Eugenia Garcia of RTC - Quezon City. Upon the raid, Sean arrested while the latter is engaged in Cybersex with Sam. Sam, through the webcam saw Sean arresting Perla. Sam showed dirty finger to Sean.

Question:

1. Can Sean, in the future arrest Sam from the US? Why or Why not?

Yes, Because both said that internet cafés are promoting Cybersex and in fact generated huge income from that activity from their customers which means that both of them are engaged in Cybersex.

2. If Perla and Sam were engaged in the Cybersex but did it in their respective private room, can they be held liable? Give your reasons.

If they were engaged in cybersex but did it in their respective private room they will not be held liable if no other person is being used they can only be held liable if the other person is using cybersquatting, child pornography, identity theft, illegal access to data and libel.

This study resource was
shared via CourseHero.com